

2 Q. So when you were testifying  
3 moments ago before Randy jumped in about that  
4 little notation at the bottom, requesting  
5 party --  
6 A. Okay.  
7 Q. -- all you said about it could  
8 have been a guess?  
9 A. I'm not sure. I've never seen  
10 that before.  
11 Q. In the course of the  
12 investigation into the location of the David  
13 Bush children could you tell me why it would  
14 be that an attorney representing the victim  
15 identified in the report was being looked at?  
16 A. I don't know who you're referring  
17 to.  
18 MR. HENZES: Banik.  
19 Do you know why Whisner  
20 put Banik's information in there?  
21 THE WITNESS: No.  
22 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
23 Q. Do you know why he was looking at  
24 Christopher Bush's information?  
25 A. No.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 Q. Okay. Do we still have your  
3 transcript or did I take that?  
4 A. I think you have it.  
5 Q. Okay. If I recall correctly, you  
6 told me when David Bush came into your office  
7 the NCIC notification and the poster you  
8 called Christopher Bush from your office, but  
9 David Bush wasn't in the office.  
10 Did I hear that correctly?  
11 A. I don't believe he was. I think  
12 he was out in the lobby.  
13 Q. Did you tell Christopher Bush  
14 when you talked to him on the telephone,  
15 whether it was the first or second time, that  
16 David Bush was in your office?  
17 A. I don't recall. The first time,  
18 no. I never even got that far.  
19 Q. Okay.  
20 A. I know that for a fact.  
21 Q. Okay. So let's go to the second  
22 phone call?  
23 A. I don't know.  
24 Q. You don't know or you don't  
25 recall?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 MR. HENZES: Well,  
3 considering he was in Bucks County on  
4 the second phone call I don't think he  
5 was in the office because October 24 was  
6 the second phone call.  
7 MR. PURICELLI:  
8 Interesting point, but he just got done  
9 saying it wasn't the first call.  
10 MR. HENZES: He said it  
11 was the first call.  
12 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
13 Q. Is that what you said?  
14 THE WITNESS: The first  
15 call he was not.  
16 MR. HENZES: In the  
17 office.  
18 THE WITNESS: In the  
19 office.  
20 MR. HENZES: In the  
21 office, office, office, office. He said  
22 he was in the lobby.  
23 MR. PURICELLI: I know  
24 that.  
25 MR. HENZES: Right.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 He said -- and he couldn't  
3 have been in there the second time  
4 because he was in Bucks County at that  
5 time.  
6 Right?  
7 MR. PURICELLI: You'll  
8 catch up with me eventually what I'm  
9 thinking.  
10 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
11 Q. Do you recall what it was you,  
12 quote, unquote, told your guys about the NCIC  
13 entry?  
14 A. Told them that the children were  
15 entered.  
16 Q. Okay. I'm using the term guys  
17 because I read transcript and using the word  
18 you used.  
19 A. Troopers. Members. Guys.  
20 Q. Is that all you told them; that  
21 the children were entered?  
22 A. That's all I can recall.  
23 Q. And do you recall who these guys  
24 were?  
25 A. Members of the Mansfield station.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 Q. Okay. And their names would be?  
3 A. Exactly which ones, I don't know,  
4 unless you want me to list all the members of  
5 the Mansfield station.  
6 Q. No. I would prefer you to tell  
7 me the ones you were talking to.  
8 A. I don't know.  
9 Q. So I don't have to depose the  
10 entire barracks.  
11 Okay. We got through  
12 that.  
13 Do you recall receiving  
14 any correspondence or seeing any  
15 correspondence in the investigation file from  
16 John F. Cowley, the district attorney of  
17 Tioga County?  
18 A. Do I recall seeing any in this --  
19 Q. Seeing --  
20 A. No. I don't.  
21 Q. Seeing or discussing with anyone  
22 any correspondence from the district  
23 attorney, John Cowley, from the Tioga County.  
24 A. I talked to him in person. Yes.  
25 I know Corporal Wheeler also talked to him.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 Q. Did he at any time tell you he  
3 had communication with Lyle and Helga Bush?  
4 A. I believe so.  
5 Q. Okay. And you believe that based  
6 on what?  
7 A. I believe there's an attachment  
8 to our report with a -- I think they wrote a  
9 letter; if I remember correctly.  
10 Q. And do you recall what that  
11 letter was asking?  
12 A. The specifics of it, no.  
13 Q. Okay. If they were indicating  
14 the children were missing, you'd have no  
15 reason to dispute that?  
16 A. No. I don't know what they  
17 actually said.  
18 Q. Have you ever talked to  
19 Lieutenant Ignatz about anything to do with  
20 this case?  
21 Either the missing  
22 children or the BPR investigation or the NCIC  
23 investigation?  
24 A. First time I met Lieutenant  
25 Ignatz was at his hearing, Detective Bush's

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

171

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 hearing.  
3 Q. Have you talked to Corporal Shawn  
4 Sankey ever?  
5 A. Not that I recall.  
6 Q. Okay. Do you recall having any  
7 conversations with anybody at CLEAN in  
8 regards to the CLEAN or NCIC entry of the  
9 Bush children?  
10 A. No, sir. I don't.  
11 Q. You've already testified you've  
12 had no communications at all with Captain  
13 Hill, now Major Hill.  
14 Correct?  
15 A. I've had communications with him.  
16 Q. Other than normal business stuff.  
17 A. Not that I can recall.  
18 Q. Well, do you recall having any  
19 discussion with Captain Hill, now Major Hill  
20 about any approval or disapprovals by the  
21 Tioga County District Attorney's Office in  
22 regards to the David Bush complaint?  
23 A. Did I have conversation with --  
24 no. I don't recall any conversations  
25 relative to what the district attorney said.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

172

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 Q. Did you tell anyone at any time  
3 that the District Attorney's Office endorsed  
4 the actions of the investigators in the  
5 investigation of the Bushes' kids?  
6 A. When we talked with our crime  
7 lieutenant he had asked -- the first meeting  
8 we had with him he had asked us if we had  
9 contact with the district attorney and we  
10 said yes and explained what his wishes were.  
11 Q. Well, my question was did you  
12 ever have any conversations with anybody that  
13 stated that the District Attorney's Office  
14 for Tioga endorsed the actions of the  
15 investigators in Pennsylvania State Police  
16 investigators in the missing children case?  
17 A. It would only be possibly at his  
18 hearing.  
19 Q. Okay. Aside from that hearing.  
20 Before that hearing.  
21 A. I don't know.  
22 Q. Okay. When I say before July 31,  
23 2007 did you ever tell anybody that the  
24 District Attorney's Office from Tioga County  
25 endorsed the actions of the Pennsylvania

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173



2 State Police investigators in the David Bush  
3 complaint?  
4 A. Not that I recall.  
5 Q. Do you know the code of conduct  
6 in the FR?  
7 A. Am I familiar with it?  
8 Q. Yes.  
9 A. Yes.  
10 Q. And what is your understanding of  
11 what the purpose of that is?  
12 A. It puts out a guideline as to how  
13 we are to behave and do our job.  
14 Q. Okay. And do you know whether or  
15 not it says that you are to treat people as  
16 if they were families? Members of families.  
17 A. I don't recall that exact  
18 verbiage.  
19 Q. Let me see if I can point you to  
20 it.  
21 MR. HENZES: Wait. You  
22 said treat members as family?  
23 MR. PURICELLI: Treat  
24 people. Members is a classification  
25 they call State Police.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 MR. HENZES: Well, you  
3 said treat members as family.  
4 MR. PURICELLI: Treat  
5 people who come in looking for service  
6 as families.  
7 THE WITNESS: I don't --  
8 MR. HENZES: That's  
9 something different. You said treat  
10 members as family.  
11 MR. PURICELLI: Well, he  
12 doesn't remember the verbiage. I'm  
13 trying to get any actual notes on it so  
14 I can point him to the actual verbiage  
15 so we can get it right.  
16 MR. HENZES: You said  
17 members and members are people that work  
18 there.  
19 MR. PURICELLI: That is  
20 true. We've come to an agreement on  
21 that. All right.  
22 MR. HENZES: And in this  
23 day and age if it says treat members of  
24 the public as family you may want to  
25 change that.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

175

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 - - -  
3 (Discussion held off the  
4 record.)  
5 - - -  
6 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
7 Q. I'm not going to be able to find  
8 it for you.  
9 All right. If I told you  
10 that the records of the State Police show  
11 Captain Hill, now Major Hill filing a memo on  
12 August 1, 2007 on IAD investigation 2006-0692  
13 limited as being unfounded would that help  
14 refresh your memory as to when you received a  
15 clearance?  
16 A. I would assume that I would have  
17 gotten it shortly after the dated letter.  
18 Q. Okay. And that memo we were  
19 talking about at length --  
20 MR. HENZES: But does it  
21 refresh his recollection as to when he  
22 got it?  
23 MR. PURICELLI: It assumes  
24 it would be after that.  
25 MR. HENZES: Yeah. But

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

176

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 your question was does that actually  
3 refresh his recollection?  
4 MR. PURICELLI: Yeah.  
5 When he got it.  
6 MR. HENZES: Yeah. But  
7 the question is does that refresh your  
8 recollection as to when you got it?  
9 THE WITNESS: No.  
10 MR. PURICELLI: Your point  
11 is, Randy?  
12 MR. HENZES: Well, your  
13 point was you're asking does that  
14 refresh his recollection as to when he  
15 got it. That's a different question.  
16 That question, by telling  
17 you this does that give you an idea? He  
18 says, no.  
19 Sitting here today he  
20 still has no recollection of getting it.  
21 That's a question. You asked him did it  
22 refresh his recollection.  
23 MR. PURICELLI: Did you  
24 hear the last part he assumed after  
25 that?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 MR. HENZES: No. He  
2 assumed when it was over. He didn't  
3 know when it was over and you're asking  
4 him, you said, does did it refresh your  
5 recollection.  
6  
7 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
8 Q. All right. Now, we were  
9 originally talking about a June 28, 2007  
10 memo.  
11 Weren't we?  
12 A. Which memo are you referring to  
13 now?  
14 Q. The one we went to great length  
15 discussion about requesting personnel  
16 notation on the bottom and you didn't know if  
17 it was for the attachments were being  
18 requested for litigation or the BPR  
19 investigation. I heard all that right.  
20 Correct?  
21 A. Yes.  
22 Q. All right. I don't need to go  
23 through all that again.  
24 A. No.  
25 Q. And I thought I heard you tell me

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 that you assumed it was for litigation  
3 because the BPR investigation was long over,  
4 and I think that was the exact words you  
5 used, long over as of June 28, 2007.  
6 Do you recall saying that?  
7 A. I believe I said I believe the  
8 complaint was from January. I would assume  
9 that the BPR would have been over.  
10 Q. All right. So can we agree then  
11 if -- I'm going to show it to you. We'll  
12 mark it so we're not living in a vacuum here.  
13 - - -  
14 (Exhibit Tripp-3, marked  
15 for identification.)  
16 - - -  
17 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
18 Q. I'm showing you Tripp-3. I'm  
19 going to represent to you this is a copy of a  
20 document I took off the disk that we all know  
21 so affectionally now. I won't purport it to be  
22 saying it's accurate or anything other than  
23 this is what I got. We'll find out from  
24 Captain Hill what the story is. Major Hill.  
25 And it's dated August 1,

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

179

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 2007.  
3 Correct?  
4 A. Yes.  
5 Q. And it shows in the enclosure the  
6 documents which reference stuff from Dennis  
7 C. Hile.  
8 Correct?  
9 A. Correct.  
10 Q. And that was the person you  
11 talked to about your investigation.  
12 Correct?  
13 A. Yes.  
14 Q. Okay. And it references a  
15 complaint on 7/31/07.  
16 Correct?  
17 MR. HENZES:  
18 Correspondence.  
19 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
20 Q. Correspondence. Correspondence  
21 to complainant.  
22 MR. HENZES: Right.  
23 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
24 Q. Okay. And then it says Newtown  
25 Township on line three supervisors.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

180

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 Do you see that?  
3 A. Yes.  
4 Q. Okay. Correspondence dated  
5 8/1/07 to Sergeant Joseph A. Tripp.  
6 Correct?  
7 A. Correct.  
8 Q. That's you.  
9 Right?  
10 A. That is me.  
11 Q. Okay. Assuming Captain Hill is  
12 going to tell me that correspondence dated  
13 8/1/07 is your clearance date letter, okay,  
14 which coincides with the finding, can we  
15 agree then that the June 28, 2007 memo, okay,  
16 does not mean that the investigation against  
17 you had been long over?  
18 A. I would like to know the date I  
19 was interviewed by Lieutenant Hile because  
20 that was the last contact I had.  
21 Q. If you want you can look through  
22 the disk. I have no problem.  
23 A. That's all right. I believe that  
24 my interview would have been long before  
25 June 28, 2007.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 Q. But the investigation from your  
3 experience with the State Police is over when  
4 you receive a clearance or charges.  
5 Correct?  
6 A. Correct.  
7 Q. Okay. And could we also be  
8 correct that as of August 1 you had not  
9 received either?  
10 And that's 2007, mind you.  
11 Either a clearance or  
12 charges.  
13 A. Correct.  
14 Q. Okay. And so can we also agree  
15 then that the June 28, 2007 memo that's  
16 attached to the last page of Ignatz-5, okay,  
17 cannot support a belief that your  
18 investigation had been long over?  
19 The investigation being  
20 the Complaint into --  
21 A. I disagree.  
22 Q. Okay. And what can you tell me  
23 factually what can you tell me to support the  
24 reason you disagree?  
25 MR. HENZES: You just

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 asked him his belief that it was over.  
3 That's a different question.  
4 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
5 Q. Okay. Well, factually tell me  
6 what you rely on in forming that belief.  
7 A. When you look at the dates of  
8 Major Rice concurred with, I'm assuming,  
9 Captain Hill on 7/21, July 21 of '07. So  
10 Captain Hill would have had to receive the  
11 investigation, make the determination,  
12 contact the major and then by the time this  
13 gets kicked out and the complaint was January  
14 of '07.  
15 Q. I believe it's January 15 on the  
16 bottom.  
17 A. January something of '07. Sure.  
18 And I would -- without looking, once I was  
19 interviewed, I was done.  
20 Q. You were done all you could do.  
21 A. Correct.  
22 Q. Didn't mean the investigation was  
23 over.  
24 Correct?  
25 A. Correct.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

183

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 Q. All right.  
3 A. But as far as I'm concerned it's  
4 over. Once he's done interviewing me it's  
5 over.  
6 Q. Well, if the investigation led to  
7 charges it wouldn't be over for you.  
8 Would it?  
9 A. Well, commonsense led me to  
10 believe there would be no charges from that.  
11 Q. I understand, but that wasn't my  
12 question.  
13 If you were, in fact,  
14 charged it wouldn't be over.  
15 Would it?  
16 A. Nope.  
17 MR. HENZES: Well, it  
18 would have have to be over. If there's  
19 charges, the investigation is over.  
20 MR. PURICELLI: Let me do  
21 my deposition. Make your argument --  
22 MR. HENZES: I mean, how  
23 would they --  
24 MR. PURICELLI: Randy.  
25 MR. HENZES: The

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

184

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 investigation would be over. They made  
3 a determination. The investigation is  
4 over. If they determine he did  
5 something wrong, the investigation is  
6 still over.  
7 MR. PURICELLI: Really?  
8 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
9 Q. Did you know it was over?  
10 A. Like I said, my belief and  
11 assumption would be when he was done  
12 interviewing me I was done.  
13 Q. Your belief was based only on  
14 your thinking, not on anything somebody told  
15 you.  
16 Correct?  
17 A. Correct.  
18 Q. And did Major, at that time  
19 Captain Hill call you and say before he made  
20 his conclusion on August 1, oh, don't worry,  
21 it's over?  
22 A. No.  
23 But you asked me for my  
24 opinion.  
25 Q. That's true, but your attorney

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173



2 over there likes to throw a lot of things in  
3 that makes me have to ask all these  
4 questions.

5 Now, after your interview  
6 is it true they could have interviewed you  
7 again if they found something?

8 They being whoever the  
9 investigator was.

10 A. Very well could have.

11 Q. They could have look verifying  
12 what you were saying was true or not true.

13 Couldn't they?

14 A. Sure.

15 Q. So can we agree that after your  
16 interview, whenever it was, the investigation  
17 wasn't over?

18 A. Again, no. I'm not going to  
19 agree with you.

20 Q. Okay. Did anybody tell you not  
21 to worry about that investigation?

22 A. Nope.

23 Q. But you knew it was over.

24 A. My belief --

25 Q. Am I correct?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 A. -- it was over.

3 Q. Okay. And the only person you  
4 talked to was the lieutenant to make that --

5 A. I talked to Lieutenant Hile.

6 Q. Let me get the question out.  
7 -- to make that belief?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. Did you talk to anybody  
10 from Virginia in regards to the David Bush  
11 matter other than the Virginia State Police?

12 A. I'm not sure who I talked to.

13 Q. So the answer to that is, yes,  
14 you did talk to somebody?

15 A. From Virginia?

16 Q. Yes.

17 A. Yes.

18 You said other than the  
19 Virginia State Police.

20 Q. I know what I said.

21 Who do you think you  
22 talked to other than the Virginia State  
23 Police about the Bush matter?

24 A. Talked to, obviously, Detective  
25 Lawson. That's my writing.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

187

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 Q. When I asked you if you  
3 recognized that name before --

4 A. I didn't until I went through the  
5 paperwork there.

6 Q. Thank you.

7 So paperwork does help you  
8 remember things.

9 Detective Lawson is from  
10 where?

11 A. I'm not sure.

12 Q. Is that your handwriting on that  
13 document?

14 A. Absolutely.

15 Q. Okay. What did you write?

16 A. Detective Lawson. Phone number.  
17 FAX number. And removing from NCIC.

18 Q. Okay. Now, I didn't write it. I  
19 don't know anything about it. Tell me what  
20 that tells you, all your notes.

21 A. Again, as I testified to earlier,  
22 I FAXed information they requested to them.

23 Q. To the Virginia State Police?

24 A. Could be Richmond City. Could be  
25 Virginia State Police. I don't know.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

188

1 JOSEPH TRIPP

2 Q. So do you remember talking to  
3 anybody from the Richmond City Police  
4 Department?

5 A. I don't recall. He could be from  
6 Richmond City. I don't remember.

7 Q. Did you tell Detective Lawson  
8 that what you were FAXing to him was a  
9 custody order?

10 A. No. I don't recall any of that.

11 Q. Do you have any reason to believe  
12 Detective Lawson is untruthful?

13 A. No. Don't know him from Adam.

14 MR. PURICELLI: Can we  
15 mark this?

16 - - -

17 (Exhibit Tripp-4, marked  
18 for identification.)

19 - - -

20 BY MR. PURICELLI:

21 Q. I'm showing you what's been  
22 marked Tripp-4 and draw your attention to the  
23 second page, fifth paragraph down.

24 MR. HENZES: On the first  
25 page or second page?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 MR. PURICELLI: Second  
3 page.  
4 MR. HENZES: Okay.  
5 MR. PURICELLI: That's  
6 what I said.  
7 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
8 Q. I represent to you that these are  
9 notes received from Lawson in the course of  
10 this investigation and they were represented  
11 to the court as his notes of his events in  
12 the David Bush case.  
13 On the second page --  
14 A. Yeah.  
15 Q. -- fifth paragraph he attributes  
16 contact to you.  
17 A. Okay.  
18 Q. Correct?  
19 A. Yes.  
20 Q. He says -- he refers to you as a  
21 trooper, okay, on the last sentence and he  
22 says you FAXed him a copy of a custody order  
23 and said that you reported that Serene,  
24 Mrs. Bush, wanted her ex-husband, meaning  
25 David Bush, arrested, but there is no

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 probable cause, PC, for same.  
3 Do you see that?  
4 A. Yeah. I see it.  
5 Q. Did you tell that to Detective  
6 Lawson?  
7 A. I don't recall that at all.  
8 Q. Okay. Are you disputing that you  
9 said that?  
10 A. I don't recall that at all.  
11 Q. I know, but I'm being thorough  
12 here.  
13 Are you disputing you said  
14 it?  
15 A. Why would I -- yeah. I would  
16 dispute that.  
17 Q. Okay. So you're saying Detective  
18 Lawson's notes are inaccurate to your phone  
19 conversation with him.  
20 Is that true?  
21 A. Yeah. I don't recall saying  
22 that. I don't understand why that would even  
23 be said.  
24 Q. Okay. He doesn't reference in  
25 here anything about an expired protection

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 from abuse order, though.  
3 Does he?  
4 A. I believe it does.  
5 Q. Okay.  
6 A. He stated that the mother's last  
7 court order for custody of the children  
8 expired January of 2006.  
9 Q. Okay. Well, protection from  
10 abuse order isn't a custody order.  
11 Is it?  
12 MR. HENZES: It says he  
13 stated the mother's last custody order  
14 for full custody of the children expired  
15 on January of 2006.  
16 MR. PURICELLI: Well, what  
17 was my question, Mr. HENZES?  
18 I was talking about a PFA.  
19 THE WITNESS: I believe it  
20 was all wrapped into one.  
21 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
22 Q. You believe.  
23 MR. HENZES: It actually  
24 is.  
25 MR. PURICELLI: It

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 actually isn't.  
3 MR. HENZES: It is.  
4 Read it.  
5 MR. PURICELLI: I know  
6 what they say.  
7 MR. HENZES: Do you want  
8 it?  
9 MR. PURICELLI: Go ahead.  
10 MR. HENZES: Did you see  
11 this?  
12 One you didn't see this  
13 one.  
14 MR. PURICELLI: Go ahead  
15 and give me this one, Randy.  
16 MR. HENZES: All right.  
17 You can move on to your next question.  
18 MR. PURICELLI: No. I'll  
19 wait for it because I want to see this  
20 expired January 2006 full custody order.  
21 MR. HENZES: Here we go.  
22 Final court order.  
23 Since you guys are real  
24 good on this do you know what 6108 of  
25 the Act is?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 MR. PURICELLI: 6108 is  
2 the Domestic Violence Act. It's a PFA  
3 action, not a custody action, which is  
4 23.  
5  
6 MR. HENZES: At the risk  
7 of embarrassing you in front of your  
8 clients, here's the final court order,  
9 page --  
10 MR. PURICELLI: Give me  
11 the whole thing.  
12 MR. HENZES: Page two is  
13 PFA is granted.  
14 MR. PURICELLI: This is  
15 the PFA order.  
16 MR. HENZES: No. It's  
17 not. And then it says the custody order  
18 is in there. All the custody stuff is  
19 in there.  
20 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
21 Q. This is what your attorney  
22 represents to be a custody order?  
23 Could you read for the  
24 record --  
25 MR. HENZES: No. It says

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

2 the full court order.  
3 MR. PURICELLI: Do we  
4 agree, Randy, that this says, caption  
5 for the order, protection from abuse?  
6 MR. HENZES: No. It says  
7 full order of court.  
8 MR. PURICELLI: Yes.  
9 MR. HENZES: No. The  
10 order of the court says final order of  
11 court.  
12 MR. PURICELLI: Randy --  
13 MR. HENZES: This is the  
14 order of the court, Brian. And it then  
15 gives the PFA and then it says:  
16 Defendant shall have no physical  
17 custody.  
18 MR. PURICELLI: Yeah. I  
19 know. It's a PFA.  
20 MR. HENZES: It says  
21 custody of the following minor children  
22 shall be to the plaintiff. Read the  
23 first paragraph.  
24 MR. PURICELLI: Uh-huh.  
25 Uh-huh.

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 What is the order under,  
3 Randy?  
4 MR. HENZES: It's under  
5 final order of court.  
6 MR. PURICELLI: For what  
7 action?  
8 MR. HENZES: It says final  
9 order of court.  
10 MR. PURICELLI: For what  
11 action, Randy.  
12 MR. HENZES: For  
13 protection of abuse.  
14 MR. PURICELLI: Exactly.  
15 MR. HENZES: It doesn't  
16 say this is -- it tells you what it is.  
17 MR. PURICELLI: No. You  
18 argue that in a court of law, Counselor.  
19 BY MR. PURICELLI:  
20 Q. Now, Sergeant Tripp, the State  
21 Police are charged to know the Protection  
22 From Abuse Act.  
23 Aren't they?  
24 A. They're what?  
25 Q. Charged to know the Protection

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173

1 JOSEPH TRIPP  
2 From Abuse Act.  
3 Aren't they?  
4 A. Yes.  
5 Q. And, in fact, they get protection  
6 from abuse orders all the time.  
7 Correct?  
8 A. Correct.  
9 Q. In fact, now those orders are put  
10 in a central location and you have access to  
11 them throughout the state through the  
12 electronic system.  
13 Isn't that true?  
14 A. That is correct.  
15 Q. Okay. Now, do you get custody  
16 orders if one parent goes to court and tries  
17 to just get custody of their children  
18 ordinary without a protection order?  
19 Do you get copies of those  
20 orders?  
21 A. Custody orders? No.  
22 Q. All right. Isn't it true you  
23 FAXed to Richmond City Police Department an  
24 expired protection from abuse order that  
25 dealt also with temporary custody?

Bucks County Court Reporters  
215.348.1173